	Case 1:21-cv-01448-DAD-BAM Docume	nt 49 Filed 07/28/22 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
8		
9	DURRELL ANTHONY PUCKETT,	Case No. 1:21-cv-01448-DAD-BAM (PC)
0	Plaintiff,	ORDER DISCHARGING ORDER TO SHOW CAUSE WHY DOE DEFENDANTS SHOULD NOT BE DISMISSED
1	v.	
2	BARAONA, et al.,	(ECF No. 44)
3	Defendants.	ORDER VACATING DEADLINE FOR PLAINTIFF TO PROVIDE WRITTEN
4		NOTICE IDENTIFYING DOE DEFENDANTS FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS
5		DEI ENDINVISTON SERVICE OF TROCESS
6	Plaintiff Durrell Anthony Puckett ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding pro se and in	
7	forma pauperis in this civil rights action. This action proceeds on Plaintiff's first amended	
8	complaint against: (1) Defendants Baraona, Burneszki, Leos, Hernandez, Diaz, and Doe 1 for	
9	excessive force in violation of the Eighth Amendment; (2) Defendant Leos for sexual assault in	
20	violation of the Eighth Amendment; and (3) Defendants A. Ruiz, Martinez, E. Ruiz, Meiers,	
21	Gutierrez, A. Cruz, K. Cronister, and Jane Doe Nurse for failure to protect in violation of the	
22	Eighth Amendment.	
23	Defendants Baraona, Burneszki, Leos, Hernandez, Diaz, A. Ruiz, E. Ruiz, Gutierrez, K.	
24	Cronister, Martinez, Meiers, and A. Cruz have been electronically served, and their responses to	
25	the first amended compliant are currently due on or before September 19, 2022. (ECF Nos. 42,	
26	47.)	
27	On March 17, 2022, the Court directed Plaintiff to provide the Court with written notice	
28	identifying Defendants Doe 1 and Jane Doe Nurse with enough information to locate those	
		1

Case 1:21-cv-01448-DAD-BAM Document 49 Filed 07/28/22 Page 2 of 2

defendants for service of process. (ECF No. 21.) When Plaintiff failed to provide such written notice, the Court issued an order requiring Plaintiff to show cause why Defendants Doe 1 and Jane Doe Nurse should not be dismissed for failure to prosecute. (ECF No. 44.)

Currently before the Court is Plaintiff's response to the order to show cause, filed as

"Objection to Plaintiff Failure to Prosecute with Request to Identify Doe Defendants When Discovery Cut off by Date Ends," filed July 27, 2022. (ECF No. 48.) Plaintiff states that he received the Court's order to show cause while on a 2-week suicide prevention watch with no mail. Plaintiff states that he cannot get the names of the Doe Defendants until discovery, and provides some limited identifying information about Defendants Jane Doe Nurse and Doe 1. (*Id.*)

The Court construes the response as a request for an extension of time to identify the Doe Defendants until discovery has opened. As the remaining defendants have been served but discovery has not yet opened, the Court finds it appropriate to extend the deadline for Plaintiff to identify the Doe Defendants. In light of the remaining defendants' September 19, 2022 deadline to respond to the first amended complaint, at this time the Court will vacate the deadline for Plaintiff to identify the Doe Defendants. When discovery has opened, the Court will issue a separate order setting a new deadline for Plaintiff to submit written notice identifying the Doe Defendants for service of process.

Accordingly, it is HEREBY ORDERED as follows:

- 1. The June 30, 2022 order to show cause, (ECF No. 44), is DISCHARGED; and
- 2. The deadline for Plaintiff to identify the Doe Defendants is VACATED, to be reset following the opening of discovery.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: **July 28, 2022**

/s/Barbara A. McAuliffe